

Lead Counsel for the Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs

9

10

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 3:07-cv-5944 SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

Indirect-Purchaser Class Action

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS'
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-1173;

Sharp Elecs. Corp. v. Koninklijke Philips Elecs.
N.V., No. 13-cv-02776;

Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05502;

Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261;

1
[PROPOSED] ORDER RE ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL
MASTER FILE NO. 3:07-CV-5944 SC

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Best Buy Co., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.,
 No. 11-cv-05513;
Best Buy Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No.
 13-cv-05264;
Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.,
 et al., No. 11-cv-05514;
Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-
 cv-05686;
Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.
Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05514)
Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.
Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-05262;
Viewsonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes,
Ltd. No. 14-cv-02510.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Upon consideration of Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs’ Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal (“Administrative Motion”), it is hereby

ORDERED that the Administrative Motion is GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk shall file under seal Exhibit G to the Declaration of Gerard A. Dever filed in support of Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs’ Omnibus Response to Direct Action Plaintiffs’ Motions *in Limine*.

Dated: _____, 2015

 The Honorable Samuel Conti
 Northern District of California